	Page 1		Page 3
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	APPEARANCES
	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE	2	
	NORTHERN DIVISION	3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
	AMERICA'S COLLECTIBLES	4	THE LAW OFFICE OF DAVID J. SHAPIRO, P.C. 43 West 43rd Street
	NETWORK, INC., d/b/a	5	Suite 45
	JEWELRY TELEVISION®,		New York, New York 10036
	Plaintiff, Civil Action No.	6	(212) 709-8047
	v. 3:09-cv-143	7	BY: David J. Shapiro, Esq. dshapiro@shapirojuris.com
	STERLING COMMERCE (AMERICA), INC.,	8	ushapho@shaphojuns.com
	Defendant	9	
		10	
		11 12	ALSO PRESENT: Leo Mileman, Videographer
	Videotaped Statement Under Oath	13	ALSO I KESEIVI. Eco ivincinan, videographei
	N/AV/L/M/DL/D 1/A 2/A15	14	
	2.01	15	
	•	16 17	
		18	
	REPORTED BY:	19	
	TANYA L. VERHOVEN-PAGE, CCR-B-1790	20	
	JOB NO. 41703	21	
		22 23	
		24	
		25	
	Page 2		Page 4
1	November 10, 2015	1	ATLANTA, GEORGIA; NOVEMBER 10, 2015
2	3:01 p.m.	2	3:02 P.M.
3		3	
4	William 100 at the 100 at	4	PROCEEDINGS
5	Videotaped Statement Under Oath	5 6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would counsel
6 7	held at the Sheraton Atlanta, 165 Courtland Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia,	7	please introduce themselves.
8	before Tanya Page, a Registered Professional	8	MR. SHAPIRO: David Shapiro from
9	Reporter in the State of Georgia.	9	the Law Offices of David J. Shapiro, P.C.
10		10	on behalf of the plaintiff Jewelry
11		11	Television.
12		12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will
13		13	the court reporter please swear in the
14		14	witness.
15 16		15	COURT REPORTER: I'm going to ask you to
16 17		16 17	raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear the testimony you are about to give will be the truth
1 / 18		18	the whole truth and nothing but the truth.
19		19	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
20		20	Thereupon
21		21	EXAMINATION
22		22	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
23		23	Q Mr. Lewis, good afternoon.
24	Dialt	24	A Hey.
25		25	Q Thank you very much for joining us.

Before we start, I'd like to remind you that we've asked you to come here today to tell the truth and the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

Are you prepared to do that today for us, sir?

A Absolutely.

Q Has anyone promised you anything in exchange for today's testimony?

A No. sir.

Q Have you been -- are you being paid to be here today?

A No, sir

Q Has anyone made any promises to you of any kind in connection with today's testimony?

A No, sir.

Q And will you do your best to tell the full truth and the whole truth as you remember it concerning the events surrounding the sale of Sterling's product and services to JTV?

A Absolutely. Yes.

Q Sir, you recall you've given two depositions in this case already.

Could you please tell us, in your own words, why you're willing to come forward today and give further testimony in this case?

today because you want to tell the jury what happened during the sales cycle and be totally unaided by IBM on how they were encouraging you and positioning you to give certain answers?

A That is correct.

Q And is it true that you're also here because, at one point, you were a little worried about certain answers you gave at the first deposition on what your lawyers had said to you about that; is that true, sir?

A That is true.

Q Would you explain what your lawyers had said to you and why that encouraged you to come forward today?

A Yes. The first deposition there was a question at the very end regarding some interrogatories and my response to those, my certification of those answers being true, and I don't think -- either we ran out of time or I wasn't able to answer them completely or I was confused about by whose responsibility it was to answer the interrogatory.

Q And do you recall that your lawyers at one time -- and we'll go over it later today -- used the word perjury in connection with one of the

Page 6

A Yes. The first deposition back in November I don't believe the question was answered correctly as it relates to similar solutions the client or our former client was looking for us to confirm. Also, in the second deposition, I gave a couple responses where I basically said I plead the fifth because I wasn't comfortable with either the arrangement, but this deposition is really just to answer any questions and be a little bit more succinct in the answers regarding what I believe the client had asked us previously versus some answers that we were positioned or coached on how to answer in the past with the representation of Kilpatrick & Townsend.

Q You say, sir, positions you were coached. Is it fair to say that prior to today, to a certain extent at least, you've always been telling the IBM version of what happened at JTV?

A IBM as the employer. IBM as maybe a business partner of one that had I relationship with.

So I would say not necessarily coaching on how to respond, but when these types of questions come up, this is how you can answer or this is how other individuals have answered.

And is it fair to say that you're here

Page 8

Page 7

answers -- one of the answers you gave?

A Correct, and there was always encouragement to not purger myself. So that, to me, meant that I needed some more awareness.

Q So is it fair to say the one reason you're here today is to correct any errors that may have happened in the past with connection with your testimony?

A Absolutely.

Q And is it fair to say that you're here today to make sure that the record is as crystal clear as you can make it as someone who was involved in the sales cycle; is that right?

A Absolutely.

Q Okay. Let's start at the beginning. Could you please tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what was your role at Yantra prior to 2007?

A Yes. 1999 I hired in as a client engagement manager. I was responsible for the successful delivery of implementations. I managed the project teams, which would be consisting of project managers, senior consultants, and the goal was to make sure the client was referenceable.

So prior to the role that I had years down the road, it was really implementation, making

sure the customer went live and recognized value from their investment in the software.

- Q And prior to JTV in and around 2007, is it true, sir, that you were on the -- therefore, the implementation side of the Sterling and Yantra organization as opposed to the sale side?
- A Well, in 2005, when we were acquired by Sterling Commerce, the roles changed. I moved out of the implementation role into the sales team, and that was just an organization change by Sterling Commerce.
- Q But before 2007, you were involved in implementation --
  - A All implementations.
- Q Sorry. Implementing Sterling products;is that right?
  - A Correct.

- Q Okay. Now, drawing your attention to
  December 2006, is that when JTV licensed a Sterling
  product known as NWMS?
  - A I believe so.
- Q And approximately when were you assigned to the JTV product?
- A It would have been after that sale. So, if memory recall, February, thereabouts, 2007.
- Q Okay. Now, based on your experience are

that I was still managing -- twelve to 15 months -- I would say -- for that particular client, which was a retailer. On average, I would say between nine and 12 months.

- Q So OMS, in your experience, on average was eight months and -- excuse me.
  - A Nine.

- Q WMS, in your experience, was an average of approximately eight months?
  - A Six to nine I would say.
  - O Six to nine.

And based on your several years at the company, the average implementation time for OMS, 12 to 15; is that right?

- A Fair.
- Q And before 2007, had Sterling ever implemented the PO product?
- A Not to my knowledge at all. I think there was one other client, possibly Avery Dennison, that had licensed the PO collab piece, but I don't think they ever successfully went through an implementation.

So there were no implementations prior to JTV that were live.

Q So does it, therefore, follow, sir, that,

Page 10

at Sterling, how long on average does it take to implement the WMS product?

- A My experience, which dates back, again, to 1999, I would say, on average, it was about a six' to nine-month implementation.
- Q When you say 1999, are you referring to the period from '99 up until 2007?
- A Correct. Or my entire time in the delivery organization, across all the projects and clients that implemented WMS, I would say on average six to nine months. Some were as long as 12 to 15 months.
- Q And in February of 2007, JTV became interested in possibly adding OMS and PO to its project; is that right?
  - A Correct. Yes.
- Q And you recall, sir, that, in June of 2007, JTV actually licensed the OMS and PO product?
- A That is true.
  - Q Now, could you tell us, sir, based on your experience on the implementation side at Sterling, how long on average does it take to implement OMS?
  - A Order management at Circuit City, the prior project for me prior to JTV -- and it was one

by 2007, there was no history or track record upon which Sterling could say here is the average amount of time it takes to implement PO?

Page 12

- A Correct. No implementation patterns had been established for a PO collab as a new product.
- Q And do you recall, sir, that, in October of 2007, the parties signed what's known as an implementation statement of work?
  - A Yes.
- Q And was JTV's -- are you familiar with the phrase product mix as it's used in your -- in your industry?
  - A Yes.
  - Q What is a product mix?
- A I'll give you a quick use case with warehouse management. The majority of the implementation is -- the product mix would be cartons, boxes, SKUs, pallets. JTV had a pretty unique product mix in terms that they were selling into eaches, small quantities, small units of measures, rings, watches.

The packaging would have been different than anything we would have faced before.

Q You say that would have been different than anything we would have faced before.

Page 13 Page 15

Are you testifying today that JTV's product mix was the first time -- first of its kinds that Sterling had come across?

A Yes, to my knowledge.

Q And you referenced earlier, sir, the phrase implementation pattern.

What is an implementation pattern?

A In an implementation pattern, you typically look for things that are similar or things that are different, and those things that are different we would classify as gaps.

So, with JTV, I think it was the first time that we, as an organization -- Yantra or Sterling -- had sold into an entity that mainly advertises through media.

Q You anticipated my next question.

Were there any clients before JTV that had the same implementation patterns that Sterling had dealt with?

A I wouldn't say implementation patterns. I would say selling patterns. I believe we sold into one other retailer, QVC, and we sold the WMS product into QVC, and after the sale of that product, they abandoned their implementation and went with a competitor's product.

correct?

A No. They abandoned their implementation. They abandoned after the sale, and they went with a competitor's product.

Q And based on what you know, was the selling pattern at QVC, which is similar to the selling pattern at JTV, was that one of the reasons the QVC project failed?

A I think once they got close enough to the implementation, there were too many challenges that they quickly identified, such as the ability to do half a million line item orders in a day.

I think the scale, the volume was just different.

- Q And between February of 2007 and October of 2007, were there meetings between folks from Sterling and JTV to talk about adding OMS and PO to the project?
  - A Yes.
- Q And you said earlier that, in your experience, WMS takes about eight months?
  - A Six to nine.
- Q Six to nine months.
  OMS approximately 12 to 15 months?
  - A Correct.

Page 14

Q Do you know why QVC abandoned the product that they purchased from Sterling?

A I wasn't on the implementation, but talking to some of the individuals that were responsible for the sale and the engineering organization, QVC felt we couldn't scale, and they felt like our WMS product wasn't the right fit for their industry.

- Q And the WMS product -- that's the same product that was licensed by both JTV and QVC, correct?
  - A Correct. Network WMS.
  - Q I'm sorry. Let me try that again.

QVC licensed WMS, and that was the same

- WMS product licensed by JTV, correct?
  - A Correct.
  - Q And did QVC have a similar selling pattern to JTV?

A I still would say that would have been our first time selling into that space where people are advertising products on-line through TV. Most of our implementations were just connected to an eCommerce application passing us an order or their order management system passing.

Q And the QVC project was not successful,

Page 16

- Q And there had been no track record yet to know how long it would take on average to implement PO. Did I get that right?
  - A Correct.
- Q But during this time period when you get up to October of 2007, did Sterling tell JTV that all three products, WMS, OMS and PO, could be implemented in just eight months?
  - A I think that was the implementation estimate from the services team that all three could be implemented in eight months.
  - Q Was it possible to implement all three products, NWMS, OMS and PO, in just eight months in 2007?
    - A From my point of view, not possible.
- Q Could you please explain why.
- 17 A Because, with every additional product 18 that was added, it required additional integration 19 points to other systems at JTV.

So in a traditional fashion, if it was just WMS and it took six to nine months on average to implement WMS, it's just hard for me to fathom how you can add two additional products to that mix, and all the integration work -- integration usually is 40 percent of the overall budget of a project, and we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

just added additional risk by adding more things to integrate to. It's not just integration. It's testing, as well, plus training.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And during this period, February of 2007 until October 2007, did the folks from JTV start asking the folks from Sterling whether it had ever done before what JTV was asking it to do now; that is, implement all three together?

I think that question came up multiple times from Wayne, multiple times from Chris, and it was all under the can you do all three, and I think the client, speaking of JTV, all three meant all three products. On our point of view, maybe we thought three individual products.

Well, I want to go back to what you just said about what the client was asking. Is it your testimony today that your memory is that the JTV folks wanted to know whether or not Sterling had experience implementing all three at the same time?

Correct. I think JTV definitely wanted to know. Because they had licensed all three, and their project was based around delivering all three.

So, from their point of view, the client wanted to know had you successfully done all three before.

Did I get that right?

And that was a key consideration for them purchasing the other two is that they wanted to know had you had experience not only with WMS, which I think everyone knew we had a track record with, but the client wanted to know had we implemented all three solutions in one project for one customer before.

And were you ever present when Mr. Giannoni answered these questions from either Mr. Lambert or others?

12 Yes, several meetings down at their 13 location, their headquarters.

14 What did you hear Mr. Giannoni say? 15

Yes, and then reaffirming that we could Α do it.

Okay. Yes what? What do you rememeber Mr. Giannoni saying to Mr. Lambert about Sterling's experience in implementing WMS, OMS and PO together?

Yes, that we had done all three before.

Was that true? Q

22 Not all three as one application bundle, A 23

no.

And how did you know that that wasn't Q true?

Page 18

And the folks from Sterling that were involved in these meetings from February '07 and October '07 -- one of the gentlemen -- did that include a Mr. Gary Giannoni?

Yes. He was the account executive for JTV.

And were you present when Mr. Lambert would ask Mr. Giannoni about Sterling's implementation experience as it related to all three products?

Several meetings down at their headquarters, yes.

O And what did Mr. Lambert ask Mr. Giannoni?

Specifically, I can't say how he framed the question, but I've often used this. That he was curious had we done all three, could we do all three, could we give some confidence and reaffirm that we could do all three.

Specifically how the question was framed, I don't remember.

But is it fair to say, sir, that Mr. Lambert's question and the others from JTV was very clear that they wanted to know whether Sterling had implemented all three at a company before JTV?

Page 20

1 A Again, it's from my implementation 2 experience and the fact that the PO product was new. 3 The PO product did not exist like when I first hired 4 in in 1999. So there were no customers of record 5 that had implemented all three solutions back in 6 2007.

Q Had you ever -- did you ever hear Mr. Lambert or others from JTV ask the question this way: Sterling, have you ever done this before?

This referring to what we were pitching or what we were positioning and what we were looking to sell. This being PO collab, order management, WMS, this before.

Q Is there any doubt in your mind that between February of '07 and October of '07 Mr. Lambert and the other representatives of JTV wanted to know whether Sterling had experience in implementing what JTV was asking it to do; that is, implementing WMS, OMS and PO together?

No. No doubt in my mind, and successfully implementing all three.

And why is there no doubt in your mind that JTV wanted to know if Sterling had implemented all three products together?

A Their buying decision depended upon that.

Q Now, when you heard Mr. Giannoni tell Mr. Lambert that Sterling had previously done what JTV was asking it to do, implement all three at the same time, Mr. Lewis, can you tell us why didn't you correct him?

A Two, maybe three possible reasons. Number one, we're in a sales cycle, and that would be taboo in a sales organization to put up a challenge that prevented the sale from progressing, and that could be the end of your career, and maybe individuals wouldn't want to work with you again if you called out all the challenges in a sales cycle.

Number two, from my implementation point of view, I've been in similar scenarios where sales sold A, B and C, and I had to deliver A, B and C. So I still felt like our delivery team could meet the requirements and actually deliver the solution.

- Q But irrespective of what you thought the solution implementation team might do, is it your testimony today that Mr. Giannoni misrepresented a fact, that he misrepresented Sterling's implementation experience?
  - A Yes.

Q And is there any doubt in your mind that Mr. Giannoni said to Mr. Lambert and others, yes, we the JTV product?

- A Another account would have been Circuit City. They were licensing order management, looking to implement order management and also a new capability.
- Q And based on your observation of Mr. Giannoni and based on the time you spent working with him, why do you believe that Mr. Giannoni lied to Mr. Lambert and others and misrepresented Sterlings's implementation experience?

A I think the nature of sales, especially sales reps, is that you have a limited amount of time to show success before your career with that company could be ended, and what I mean by that is the average amount of time that they give people to train, come into an organization, learn the products is in between six to 12 months.

If you haven't sold any product within six to 12 months, there's a lot more pressure as to whether or not that was a bad hire. Was that a bad candidate. Maybe we should have never hired him, and my sense is Gary was in his second year of not having any sales at Yantra or Sterling.

Q Have you ever heard the phrase a warm blanket of assurance as it's used in the sales

Page 24

Page 22

- have implemented all three of these products together at a company before you?
  - A No doubt in my mind that that was said.
- Q And is it your testimony today, sir, that that was a lie?
- A That is incorrect. We had never done it before.
- Q Well, just so the record is clear, when you say that is incorrect, my question wasn't incorrect, but let's -- let me do it again.

Is there any doubt in your mind, as you sit here today testifying under oath, that Mr. Giannoni misrepresented Sterling's implementation experience when he said to Mr. Lambert and others, yes, we, at Sterling, have implemented all three before together?

- A No doubt in my mind that that is a misrepresentation.
- Q Had you known Mr. Giannoni before this February to October time period when you were on the JTV project?
- A Yes. I supported him on another opportunity.
- Q Okay. And can you please describe for us briefly your interactions with Mr. Giannoni before

- 1 context in your industry?
  - A Yes, and --
  - Q What does that phrase mean?
  - A You're offering assurances that you can meet the customer's requirements, that you can implement those capabilities, and the entire team, sales, services and marketing, are all throwing across a warm blanket that we have done this before. We can do this for you. We will be successful if you go with us.
  - Q And is that what you heard Mr. Giannoni say time and time again during the sales cycle from February '07 to October '07?
  - A I wouldn't use those words in quotations that he used a warm blanket, but he conveyed a warm blanket that we could implement these capabilities throughout every interaction.
  - Q Did I understand your answer correctly, sir, that the reason you believe Mr. Giannoni misrepresented Sterling's implementation experience is because he wanted to close the deal?
  - A Or there were incentives that -- that there were negative things that can happen if he did not get on the books and get a sale done, and I believe JTV was his first sale in the two years that

Page 27 Page 25 1 he was with that organization. 1 the similar solutions? 2 Did Mr. Giannoni misrepresent Sterling's 2 Q Right, and do you see C: Did any of the 3 implementation experiences and capabilities in an 3 "other companies" or "similar solutions" involve the effort to get this sale from JTV? 4 4 simultaneous or sequential implementation of WMS, OMS Yes. 5 and PO wherein Sterling was hired to perform the 5 Α 6 6 Q Now, after JTV sued Sterling for fraud, implementation. 7 do you recall that JTV issued something called an 7 Do you see that? 8 8 interrogatory, which I think is a fancy word for a Yes. Α 9 9 question? The first question I have for you, sir --10 10 this is called supplemental objections. A I remember, I believe, the firm of Kilpatrick & Townsend suggesting that there were an 11 Do you recall receiving from your lawyers 11 12 interrogatory response that was due, and that our 12 at IBM Interrogatory Number 7, including subparts A, 13 whole team would have to fill out certain parts of 13 B, C and D? 14 14 A I don't remember the questions A, B, C 15 15 (Previously marked Madhavan Exhibit 25 was identified and D. I do remember the preamble section. 16 for the record.) 16 And do you have any memory of your 17 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 17 lawyers from IBM asking you to answer Number 7, including subparts A, B, C and D? 18 Q I'm going to hand you, sir, a document 18 19 that was previously marked as Madhavan Exhibit No. 19 Α No, I don't. And if you could, turn with me to the 20 25? 20 21 And it's marked as Madhavan? 21 next page. Actually, let's stay on page seven. Α 22 22 Yes. Do you see in the bottom right-hand Do you see there is something called a Q 23 corner? 23 supplemental response? 24 24 Yes. Α At the bottom, yes. Α 25 25 Yes, and if you flip over to the next O Okay. And do you recognize this? I'll Page 26 Page 28 1 draw your attention to the title. Defendant's 1 page, there's a couple of paragraphs. 2 2 supplemental objections and responses to Plaintiff's Α Yes. 3 3 first set of interrogatories? And then if you flip over to the next 4 4 page, there's still more paragraphs? Α Yes. 5 5 Q And, if you could, turn with me to page Α Yes. seven. 6 6 Okay. But there are no companies listed 7 7 Confidential - subject to protective in response to Number 7, correct, especially in Α 8 order. 8 response to 7(c)? 9 9 A I don't see any of our client's names in 0 Yes. That's on the top, and you see 10 Interrogatory Number 7. Do you see there in the 10 this section. 11 middle of the page, sir? 11 So here is my question, sir: If your 12 Yes, I do. 12 lawyers from IBM had asked you to answer Number 7, Α And it reads: Section H of the 13 and specifically 7(c), what would the true and full 13 14 Implementation Phase SOW states, among other things, 14 answer be to 7(c)? 15 that, JTV has relied upon the expertise of Sterling 15 What were the other companies? None. Α 16 16 Commerce in its software, its experience in And why would the answer have been none? 17 17 implementing similar solutions in other companies and Because there were no other companies 18 its ability to customize the Sterling Commerce 18 that implemented that bundle of PO, WMS and/or 19 software to meet the requirements as defined in the 19 management. 20 20 Solution Definition documents, end quote. And if you would turn with me now, sir, a 21 Do you see that, sir? 21 couple of pages in. It's a few pages to the back. 22 22 Yes. It's the second to last page. It's called a Α 23 And then there's subparts A, B, C and D. 23 verification. 24 24 Do you see that? Α Yes. 25 25 What were the other companies? What were Q And that's your signature, right,

1 Mr. Lewis?

2.2

A There's three or four. So we're looking at my verification?

Q Yes. Okay. Are you with me? I appreciate it, and if you see in the top right-hand corner, it says April 18th, '12, and then it says 2:40 p.m., Felton E. Lewis?

A Correct.

Q Was this FAXed to your home FAX machine?

A I believe so.

Q And you see --

A That's my home phone number,

13 (770)214-9194.

Q Okay. And the verification says that you have read Sterling's supplemental responses to Number 7, and that Sterling's supplemental responses is true -- are true and correct.

Do you see that?

A Yes, and I signed it.

Q And you signed it.

Who asked you to sign this verification?

A Joel Bush, or someone on his team.

Q And before he asked you to sign it, did

Joel Bush or anybody on his team walk you through and

show you the answer that we just looked at a few

 few
 25

 Page 30

seconds ago in this paragraph.

A No. It was separate. It was signed and returned as -- and I believe it was signed and returned, the other document, but there was no walk through.

Q And, again, if you had been told that the answer to Number 7 would not include a clear and crisp and direct statement that there were no prior customers of Sterling that had implemented WMS, OMS and PO, would you still have signed the verification?

A I would not have signed the verification.

O Why not?

A Because it would not have been true.

Q Now, do you recall we had -- you were asked some questions about your verification and about the answer Number 7 at your first deposition?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And when I showed you the answers to Number 7 and your verification, did those questions concern you at the time?

A It concerned me, and it was troubling because I didn't know what my responsibility was during the deposition or prior to the deposition.

Q And we'll come back to it in a minute, but what did you do after that first deposition, and

you noticed, as I understand your testimony, the first time you realized you had verified something that was not -- that in your words was not true?

Page 31

A During the deposition I turned to Susan, I believe, who was with Kilpatrick & Townsend, and I asked did I make a mistake? Was I supposed to fill out this document? Was I supposed to answer these questions? Because the way it was presented to me is we're sending you a document. Review, sign, FAX and send it back, and during the deposition, I just turned -- because I didn't know if it was my responsibility to go through this entire document and then call out things that were true or not true. I thought my role was just to sign and return a document.

After the deposition, we had a caucus, after I was off camera, and I went back at Susan and Joel Bush in this caucus, and I said I don't think I did a good job answering the last question that you had asked, and the question was really regarding where have you done similar solutions, and I didn't know we were supposed to put names of customers in there when I was on camera, and I just wanted to go back to them and help them understand did I make a mistake? Did we make a mistake? Did someone just

Page 32

forget to fill out a document, and what I heard from Joel Bush is that we intentionally left it blank.

Q And did it concern you to hear from Mr. Bush that you had verified an answer as true, which had been, as you put it, intentionally left blank?

A Or misleading, yes, it did.

Q Why was that, sir?

A Because I had just been on camera, and I had just signed the document, and maybe I didn't know what I was actually signing.

Q And is it fair to say, as we talked about earlier today, that one reason you're here today is to tell under oath the truth of what had been told to JTV about Sterling's implementation experience?

A Absolutely.

Q Now I'd like to show you our next document, which was previously marked at your deposition as Lewis Exhibit 31.

(Previously marked Lewis Exhibit 31 was identified

21 for the record.)

22 BY MR. SHAPIRO:

Q And, sir, you'll see that this is called Defendant's original responses to Plaintiff's first set of interrogatories.

Page 33 Page 35 1 1 Do you see on page 13 ---Do you see that? 2 2 It looks very same similar to this one. Okay. 3 O Exactly. You can put them side by side. 3 O -- under that second full paragraph, it 4 A 4 says: Subject to and without waiving the foregoing Okay. 5 5 objections and general objections above, Sterling O So we just took a few minutes looking at the supplemental objections, Exhibit 25, that you 6 incorporates by reference its response to 6 7 verified? 7 Interrogatory No. 1. 8 8 A Correct A Yes. 9 9 Drawing your attention now to Lewis And if you flip back with me, you see in Exhibit 31, do you recognize this as the original 10 response to Interrogatory Number 1, which starts on 10 11 objections and responses to Plaintiff's first set of 11 page three, there's a list of companies, and on page 12 interrogatories? 12 four, you'll see, for example, DHL, 1-800-Flowers, 13 During the first deposition, correct. 13 the Gap, Best Buy and a bunch of others on page four? 14 Well, here is my question. Taking a 14 Α Correct. 15 Q 15 look -- flipping through Exhibit 31, the original So can you tell us: Were you ever 16 objections, did anyone from IBM or Sterling show you 16 asked -- in responding to the supplemental 17 17 these questions and answers before they were sent to objections, were you ever explained why Sterling did not incorporate Number 1 by reference as it had done 18 JTV in January of 2012? 18 19 19 Not to my knowledge, and if it was, it in the original interrogatories? 20 was a document, but I wasn't told to look at the 20 I just never asked that. 21 21 And do you know why -- in connection with entire document. 22 So I may have had a copy of it. I just 22 the supplemental answer to Number 7, do you know why 23 23 no companies for Number 1 are listed? didn't read it. 24 No. Other than the comment that it was 24 And let's just take a second. If you flip ahead to Page 12, you'll see -- we see Interrog intentionally left blank. 25 25

Page 34

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 36

tory Number 7.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Okay.

Q The same question we looked at earlier, right, and that is it sets out Section H, and then asks under subpart C whether any of the "other companies" or "similar solutions" involved a simultaneous or sequential implementation of the three products.

Do you see that, sir?

A Yes.

Q Here is my question, Mr. Lewis: If the IBM lawyers had shown you the original interrogatories and asked you to answer Number 7, what would you have given the answer? What answer would you have given?

A For question (a), what were the other companies? None. What were the similar solutions? None. (c), did any of the other companies involve a simultaneously? That would have been none, and question (d) is a question yes question, but the other three were no.

Q And let's flip back now to Exhibit 25 just for a second -- I'm sorry. Before we do that, let's stay on Exhibit 31, and let's look at the answer to Number 7.

Q Now, you talked a little bit -- and we'll come back to it in a minute -- conversations you had with your lawyers after your first deposition, but let's talk about before your deposition.

Did you have a meeting with your lawyers before that first deposition?

A On November 19th, there was an all-day meeting at Kilpatrick & Townsend's facilities here in Atlanta.

Q Did they talk to you about the questions that might be asked at your deposition?

A Yes. It was intended to be a day of preparation and to get ahead of questions, how to respond to questions.

Q Did they talk to you about the October 5th, 2007 implementation contract?

A I would imagine, yes. Seven, eight years ago definitely.

Q Let's just take a quick look at them, and I'll ask you some questions about that. (Lewis Examination Exhibit 1 was marked for the record.)

23 BY MR. SHAPIRO:

Q Now, drawing your attention, sir -- we've handed you Lewis Examination Exhibit 1, which is the

Page 39

October 5th, 2007 implementation statement of work.

You've seen -- do you remember getting asked questions about this document at your earlier depositions?

- A Yes. Except this agreement is not signed. This document I have, if you look at the last page, it's not executed.
  - Q Right. If you look at page seven of ten.
- A Okay.

- Q So do you see a gentleman, Steven Gatoff, signed it on behalf Sterling and Wayne Lambert?
  - A Yes. I was looking at this page.
- Q Sure, and special terms we find on this page seven of ten, right?
  - A Yes.
- Q Okay. And do you recall you were asked questions at your earlier deposition about negotiations you had with Mr. Matthews about this?
  - A Tim Matthews, yes.
- Q Here is my question: I want to focus now on this meeting you had with your lawyers before the first deposition.

Did they show you Section H, the special terms? Did they focus your attention on the phrase "similar solutions" and "other companies", and did

O Points?

A It was more points. Like this term may come up, "similar solutions", and this is how others have responded to that.

- Q Okay. And did you get the sense from meeting with your lawyers that when they were telling you what others had said about the phrase "similar solutions" that they were sending you a message this is how we'd like you to answer the question if you get it?
- A And they would always, you know --
- Q Is that a yes? I'm sorry. Is that a yes?
  - A -- briefly said, but you have to tell the truth, but I think it was put out there so that you have some consistency on how these issues came up. This is likely how that issue is going to be presented to you, and this is how we interpret your response.
  - Q And did you get the sense that they wanted you to have that same interpretation and give a similar response that the other witnesses had given?
    - A Correct.
      - Q Did you get the sense from these lawyers

Page 38

they -- did they tell you what other witnesses had said and how they had interpreted that phrase?

A Right. So it wasn't -- my recollection it wasn't a review of Section H and language. It was an easel board over to the left that had key things that are likely to come up based on people that had been deposed ahead of me, and there were just certain bullet points that they highlighted, and similar solutions, if I recall right, was maybe at the top of the list regarding this issue has came up over and over. This is how others have answered the question. Similar solutions does not mean all three. I do remember hearing that. Similar solutions is just have we done WMS, PO and order manage, but it was an easel board that these points were introduced. Not through a document.

- Q Okay. Fair enough, but so I've got it right. There's an easel board up in that -- in a conference room when you meet with your lawyers; is that right?
  - A Correct.
- Q And on the easel, they have phrases or words that have come up in previous depositions; is that right?
  - A More points.

Page 40

that they were very much focused on the fact that, if you get a question about Sterling's implementation experience, you should say we've done all three because we did one in one year and one another year as opposed to doing it all together?

A Right.

- Q Did you get the sense from these lawyers that they wanted you to tell the IBM story, and the story being, yes, of course, we have similar -- of course, we have experience in similar solutions because we have done each at different times?
- A Or at least our interpretation of similar solutions, they wanted that to be consistent.
- Q So is it fair to say that you got the sense from the lawyers for IBM that they were giving you the company line on whether or not Sterling had the experience of implementing all three and they wanted you to stick to it?

A I don't know if I would use the word company line because it's a legal issue at that point, but I think the legal position was to be crisp, sharp, brief, yes, no, and when this issue comes up regarding similar solutions, you can feel confident that we've implemented similar solutions because we did them in one off places.

Page 44

Q So during this pre-deposition meeting, did the lawyers from IBM make a distinction to you between, on the one hand, implementation experience and similar solutions means we've done all three at the same time just like we promised JTV we would versus, on the other hand, whether or not we had experience in individual modules?

Did they make that distinction?

- A I can't recall the distinction. I just remember it was a point that's likely to come up, and when it does come up, you can feel confident that our interpretation, our being IBM, is similar solutions does not imply all three as one application bundle.
- Q Okay. But during the sales cycle, is there any doubt in your mind that Mr. Matthews and Mr. Lambert wanted a representation from Sterling that they had, in fact, implemented all three at the same time and that they did have the experience in doing exactly what JTV was asking them to do on the JTV project?
- A No doubt. The client wanted to know all three not only had been licensed and purchased before and had been implemented but all three had been implemented together.
  - Q And we briefly talked about this, but

A We should have revealed either one, customers that had implemented similar solutions, or, B, we should have answered it as none. We have not implemented any.

Q This will be Lewis Examination Exhibit 2. (Lewis Examination Exhibit 2 was marked for the record.)

BY MR. SHAPIRO:

Q Sir, I've handed you a document we've called Lewis Examination Exhibit 2, which was previously produced by the Kilpatrick firm at KTS00002139.

Do you recognize this as a text message from you and your phone?

- A I don't know to whom. But I do recognize it's a text message with Felton Lewis's name, and it's November 19th, which is the day I had a deposition.
  - Q And you said, quote, I learned afterward that a few questions were left intentionally blank by KT.

Do you see that?

- A Yes.
- Q What were you referring to in that text?
  - A Well, after the deposition, I asked the

Page 42

after the first deposition, you had a meeting with your lawyers; is that right?

- A Right after.
- Q And did you talk about the questions that you received about Number 7 that we spent some time looking at today?
  - A Correct.
- Q And what did they tell you about the answer to Number 7?
- A Well, I was more worried that I had made a mistake, and I had failed to answer a question truthfully, and I think what I heard at the end of it when we were off camera was that, you know, Felton, you did good today. We intentionally left that blank. We didn't put the names of customers in there.
- Q Did it concern you that they had intentionally left the answer blank?
- A Yes, because I had just been on camera, and I didn't know it was my responsibility to answer A, B, C and D as it relates to that section.
- Q And is it also fair to say, sir, based on what you've testified today that the answer -- that you were concerned because the answer should have been none, as you told us earlier?

question on Interrogatory Response Number 7, and we didn't fill in the name of clients who had

- implemented similar solutions, and the few questions
   left blank were the interrogatory response.
   O And, again, it concerned you that they
  - Q And, again, it concerned you that they left it blank; is that right?
    - A Correct.
  - Q And it concerned you that they left it blank because you had verified the answer as true, even though the answer should have included the word not.

Did I get that right?

- A Right.
  - Q Did you meet with Wayne Lambert for coffee in Knoxville, Tennessee on or about January 14th, 2014?
  - A Yes. I don't remember the exact date, but definitely.
- Q And do you recall having a conversation with him about what he and others at JTV had been told by Sterling about Sterling's implementation experience during the sales cycle of February '07 to October '07?
- 24 A Right.
- MR. SHAPIRO: This will be our next

Page 47

1 document.

(Lewis Examination Exhibit 3 was marked for the record.)

BY MR. SHAPIRO:

Q Sir, I've handed you a document we've marked Lewis Examination No. 3, and you'll see it's an affidavit of Howard Wayne Lambert.

Do you see that at the top, the title?

A Yes.

Q Now, I want to draw your attention to paragraph nine. In paragraph nine, Mr. Lambert testifies as follows: Mr. Lewis told me further that during the deposition preparation with Kilpatrick, Townsend & Stockton he reviewed sales documents that had been presented to JTV during the sales cycle and realized, quote, how false the statements in the documents were, end quote.

Mr. Lambert goes on to testify as follows: He, Mr. Lewis, told me that Mr. Giannoni had, quote, made false statements, end quote, to JTV during the sales cycle. He suggested that, during the sales cycle, Sterling falsely represented to JTV that Sterling had implemented at other customers the three software products JTV had licensed from Sterling.

January of 2014 that Mr. Giannoni had misrepresented Sterling's implementation experience during the sales cycle?

A Again, the context of that meeting was just to see how Wayne was doing. So initially, when I reached out to him, it was, hey, I'll be in your area. Can we meet for coffee, and the first set of questions I had for him was how are you? How are you doing? What happened after JTV? And I really wanted to have that meeting to kind of see had I made any false statements that he relied upon to move forward. and it was really and clearly a conscious type of event, if you will, and then, through that process, I mentioned to him that there were several questions that if they would have came up during my deposition -- but I'm not a lawyer. I can't say what questions to ask on me. Answer the questions in front of me, and I said that there were several things that I thought that came up -- or several things that did not come up that should have been addressed if you're going to tell the whole story.

Q And what were some of the things that you thought should have been addressed at your deposition that were not addressed in order to, as you say, tell the whole story?

Page 46

Do you see that language, sir?

A Yes.

Q Did you, in fact -- is that true? Did you tell Mr. Lambert that Mr. Giannoni had made false statements to JTV during the sales cycle?

A I don't remember -- I'm suggesting
Giannoni made false statements. I don't remember how
this was introduced, but I do remember sitting down
with Wayne, and initially it was just regarding him,
how did he come out, and as we talked about the
depositions and the case and the preparation for it,
I said there was evidence all the way throughout that
that there were some things that were said that were
false. For example, intimation regarding RFID and
resources down in Bentonville, Arkansas if you don't
hurry up and sign with us, and I definitely said
there were false statements that were made by Gary.
I just don't remember in what context.

Q Sure, but do you remember saying to Mr. Lambert, in words similar to what you've said today under oath, that, yes, it's true. Mr. Giannoni falsely represented that Sterling had implemented all three products at one company before?

A Absolutely.

Q And why did you tell Mr. Lambert in

Page 48

A The history, the experience selling, the pressure on individuals to sell, the reason why somebody may be motivated not only for a commission but for livelihood. You'll lose your job if you don't hurry up and get up on the books.

Q So unpack that for me a little. If you had been asked at your previous depositions about the pressures that the salesmen had at Sterling to close the deal at JTV, if I've got that right, what would you have said, and what do you want to say now under oath about those pressures and the connection it had to what happened during the sales cycle?

A I think, in general, sales is a tough field to be in. I think there's pressures put on sales reps that are unique and different than any other part of the software organization, and I think, just my experience being in this industry, is it lends itself to making statements that maybe are not totally true to progress the opportunity to close your, high level.

Q And just to close the loop, one of the statements made during the sales cycle by Mr. Giannoni that was not true was this representation that Sterling had previously implemented NWMS, OMS and PO before at a single

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 51

companies; is that right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was several things. That was one. One of -- one of the things was similar solutions. The implication of, if you don't sign, there's a client that is somewhere in Bentonville, Arkansas that's going to consume all the resources. Because I asked these questions directly to Wayne. Wayne, did you make a decision based on losing resources to a project? Yes. Right?

So I wanted to make sure that I was really listening in on what happened to Wayne. His decisions that he made, what were they based on, and a lot of it was based on the assurances that we gave -- we as an organization, we as an entity -that we had done all three. Not just a sales rep, but we, the organization. The sales rep may be there next year. May not be there, but when the sales rep makes a commitment on behalf of that organization, the entity owns that commitment.

So just to close the loop to make sure I have it right, your testimony today under oath is that Mr. Giannoni misrepresented Sterling's implementation experience because of, from what you could observe, the pressures on the sales team at Sterling, which you say are unique, to close the deal

That would be correct. There would be two sides of that.

Did there come a point in time, sir, where you fired your lawyers from Kilpatrick?

Shortly after my first deposition.

Can you tell us why you fired them. O

Number one, I didn't feel comfortable with the answers and the response even after being off camera that we intentionally left things blank.

Number two, I didn't feel like I needed to sign the errata sheet because there were too many things we would have to do over, and what I mean by do over is we would have to have the deposition all over again. I was told that, if you fill out the errata sheet, it can only be small grammatical things you can change, and I thought the last question that was asked at the deposition needed a lot more color than just a grammatical error or mispronuciation. It was the basis of the client's claim.

What did you want to write in your errata sheet that the lawyers from Kilpatrick said you couldn't do?

Well, after reading my testimony, looking at trying to answer all the follow ups that came out of the deposition, I just felt like I didn't answer

Page 50

with JTV?

Did I get that right?

A I can't say that he misrepresented it based on that, but I can say that's what I've seen as trends. I've seen -- Gary is not the first sales rep that felt he had pull-a-gun-to-my-head pressure to get a deal done or he would no longer be with that firm. It's happened in many different software companies that I've joined. I think Gary misrepresented our capability to implement it within a certain timeline, and we never called that out to -- me, even myself -- we never called it out to the customer that, regardless of what a sales rep has said, we don't feel comfortable that we can do this in eight months.

But is it true, sir, that the misrepresentation by Mr. Giannoni was twofold: Number one, misrepresentation that it could -- all three could be implemented within a certain timetable, number one?

Α Yes.

And, number two, misrepresenting the alleged fact that prior to '07 Sterling had, in fact, implemented all three when they hadn't?

Did I get that right?

Page 52

that question correctly, and I still didn't know if it was my responsibility. So I said if I disengage with them, maybe it will come out later.

Q Okay. And just to make sure I got this right. If you had been given permission by your lawyers to fill out the errata sheet, what would you have said in response to the questions I asked you at that first deposition about whether or not Sterling had experience implementing NWMS, OMS and PO at a company before JTV?

A The reason why I didn't complete it is that I thought -- the reason why I -- excuse me.

The reason why I did not complete the errata sheet is I thought the last question had too much significance to it to be a grammatical error.

So what I was told from John Moye, if I believe -- who was the one that sent it to me -- was that these errata sheets are intended for small grammatical, maybe someone misunderstood something. I thought this was too major of an issue to just let an errata sheet be the way to address it.

But I take it you wanted to correct the record? You wanted to make it clear that Sterling didn't have the experience of implementing all three at the same time, and is it fair to say one reason

1 you're here today is to make that record clear, 2 correct?

- A And we've made that record clear.
- Now, I want to -- now, do you remember your -- you had a lawyer named Greg Fidlon who represented you in a matter against IBM?

Do you recall that?

Yes, sir. Α

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And do you recall getting a copy of an e-mail that Mr. Bush said to Mr. -- sent to Mr. Fidlon in or around April of '14?

I'm not sure of the context back then, but I remember Fidlon and Bush talking, e-mails, conversations. I just don't know context on what happened back then.

Sure. Do you remember -- and I'll show it to you in a minute, but I just want to see if I can get your recollection of the document.

Do you remember the word -- there was a word perjury in an e-mail that Mr. Bush had sent to your lawyer?

A I think it was in regards to if I accepted an opportunity -- well, if I was no longer represented by Kilpatrick & Townsend and I met with you or your firm that be careful to not commit

1 A Yes, I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0 Now did that word -- seeing that word "perjury" concern you?

A Well, it concerned me because it's coming from legal folks, and I don't really know the implementations of anything legally. I know layman.

Were you at all concerned when you saw that word that it was important for you to come forward and make sure anything you had said in your first deposition was -- if it needed to be corrected, that it got corrected?

Yes, and I didn't think there was any issues with perjury in my first deposition, other than the last question which was not answered.

Were you concerned -- when you saw the word perjury in that e-mail, were you concerned that your former lawyers might come after you because you had verified Number 7?

It sounded threatening, at least the language or my interpretation of they were trying to threaten me that if you go ahead and meet with the other side be careful because there could be legal implications down the road. There could be a lot of issues down the road for you. So you're on warning is how I interpreted it.

perjury, and the reason for that is I had sent a request through -- to Fidlon and asked him to send it through to IBM to make sure if I did meet that it would be okay and that I wasn't violating some attorney legal language that I wasn't aware of.

Q Let me show you that e-mail. I'm going to ask you one question about it. It will be the next document.

(Lewis Examination Exhibit 4 was marked for the record.)

11 BY MR. SHAPIRO:

> Sir, this is a document that was produced by your former lawyers, Kilpatrick, and it was Bates stamped KTS00002119, and you'll see it's an e-mail from Joel Bush to Greg Fidlon, dated April 11th, 2014, and I want to know -- if you would, please turn with me to the second page of this document.

> Do you see in the first full paragraph Mr. Bush writes: Quote, we believed at the time of Mr. Lewis's prior deposition -- and we continue to believe -- that Mr. Lewis testified truthfully in response to the question he was asked at his November 19th, 2013 deposition, and that Mr. Lewis did not commit perjury at that time. Do you see that language, sir?

Page 54

Q And is it fair to say, summarizing what you testified earlier under oath, that one reason we're here today is to make sure that the record is as crystal clear as possible of what you remember about the sales cycle and Sterling's implementation experience as of '07?

Α Absolutely.

Mr. Lewis, would you please describe for us briefly your professional relationship with Yantra, Sterling and IBM from '99 to 2013?

So I hired in in 1999 -- November of 1999 as a client engagement manager. Several promotions later, acquisitions later, I moved into a selling professional services role primarily with IBM. Moved from selling professional services into selling software and just had a lot of clients that basically would reaffirm that, you know, you're ethical, and they say those things about you if you looked at LinkedIn. All of my endorsements are on LinkedIn.

And after you left IBM, did you continue to do work for them under a consulting contract?

No. Not underneath a consulting contract. I left -- I left IBM and joined an IBM business partner in April of 2013. So I was responsible for re-selling IBM software.

Page 59

Q Did you do any work, though, for the Kilpatrick firm and IBM under a consulting firm -- under a consulting agreement after you were no longer an employee?

A Yes.

Q Okay. That's what I wanted to know about. Just tell me briefly. What did you do for IBM and its attorneys in connection with this consulting arrangement you had?

A So after termination 3/13/2013, I had got a couple of calls from Joel Bush about signing the representation agreement and the value of the representation agreement being a protection; because if I didn't sign the representation agreement, the opposing side could come at me with questions.

So it was -- the month of April was spent recruiting me over to sign the representation agreement with Kilpatrick & Townsend.

Q And did there come a point in time, sir, where your contractual relationship with IBM ended?

A Yes. After the first deposition in December.

Q Okay. And tell us why did you end your contractual relationship with IBM after that first deposition?

1 affidavit?

Page 58

A Correct.

Q And I'm going to now mark as an exhibit the affidavit you wrote in connection with that mediation and ask you a few questions about it, if that's okay.

A Sure.

(Lewis Examination Exhibit 5 was marked for the record.)

BY MR. SHAPIRO:

Q Sir, we've handed you a document which we've marked as Lewis Examination No. 5.

Do you recognize this as an affidavit that you prepared and signed on March 15th -- March 16th, 2015?

A Yes, sir.

Q My first question, sir: Did you write every single word of this affidavit by yourself?

A Absolutely.

Q Did you have any help whatsoever from any lawyer?

A No.

Q Could you please -- is everything in this affidavit true to the best of your belief?

A Absolutely.

Page 60

A Well, all along there was, in my opinion, a conflict of interest because I had an employment matter with IBM and then I was representing IBM. So to wake up and you have two sides of one position inside you was difficult.

Q And I understand, sir, that you had a mediation at one point with IBM concerning certain employment related allegations you had against that company?

Did I get that right?

A Yes. So the employment issue with IBM was discrimination, and it was racial discrimination, but it was really based on the fact that the manager took commissions away, and the mediation opportunity that IBM introduced was that, if I agreed to be deposed, they would agree to mediation, and up until that time, we hadn't gotten anywhere with our demand letters on the employment matter.

Q Did you have -- did a mediation with IBM take place in July of 2015 before Mr. Parks?

A There were two that took place. So there was one back in December of 2013, and the second one would have been in July of 2015, this year.

Q And in connection with the July 2015 mediation with Mr. Parks, did you prepare an

Q Could you please read into the record the words you wrote under paragraph seven of your March 16th, 2015 affidavit.

A Yes. To the best of my knowledge, during

my ten years of employment working as an employee of the Yantra and Sterling Commerce organizations, America's Collectibles Network, Incorporated doing business as Jewelry Television JTV, was the first client that ever had licensed or intended to deploy this specific configuration or "application bundle", quote, unquote, of Sterling WMS, Sterling DOM and Sterling PO collaboration. JTV was also my first client that desired to deploy this "application bundle", quote, unquote, as one integrated solution delivery. Finally, the first time our implementation team at Yantra or Sterling Commerce was tasked to

Delivery Program or Business Release. During my tenure at Yantra between January '99 and 2005, we had never deployed this specific "application bundle".

21 Q And, Mr. Lewis, could you tell us. Why 22 did you write paragraph seven?

deliver all three applications as part of one

A Because that was the first time I could respond to an interrogatory in my own words.

Q And, in your own words, tell us why did

Page 63

you write the sentence, quote, this was the first time our implementation team at Yantra or Sterling Commerce was tasked to deliver all three applications as part of one delivery program or business release?

A Because that the first time, to my knowledge, that it had ever been attempted or done.

- Q And going back to your earlier testimony, you're very clear in your mind today under oath that what JTV wanted to know from Sterling prior to October '07 was, in fact, whether or not Yantra or Sterling had ever delivered all three applications as part of one delivery program or business release, correct?
  - A Yes.

- Q And you write: During my tenure at Yantra between '99 and '05, we had never deployed this specific "application bundle". Is that true?
  - A Absolutely.
- Q And is it true, sir, that up until October of 2007 what JTV wanted to know specifically from Sterling was whether or not you had deployed the specific "application bundle" of all three, correct?
  - A Yes.
- Q And you heard Mr. Giannoni say, yes, we have delivered all three as one "application bundle",

A Wayne Lambert, the CIO of JTV, was our executive sponsor and my primary point of contact at JTV during and after the sale of the Sterling Commerce WMS, DOM, D-O-M, and PO Collaboration Software and the associated Professional Services.

Wayne reached out to me on several occasions prior to and after the SOW was executed to express frustration

with the challenges he had observed with the Sterling
 Commerce Delivery team. I represented his concerns,

alerted various departments and executives and
 relayed Wayne's comments and his observations to
 Sterling Commerce executive management as well as the
 delivery team.

Q Thank you.

Why did you include paragraph eight in your affidavit?

A Initially I thought I had to have statements of facts if it was an affidavit, and that was a fact that Wayne had raised several escalations prior to and after, right, concerned with resource, concerned with capabilities, concerned with resources that were on the ground, concerned with how fast things were moving, concerns that they may run out of money before they actually get to the end of a project, and if he shared those responsibilities --

Page 62

Page 64

correct?

A "Application bundle" was my term because I was trying to unclear or unpack the similar situations. So I just wanted to be specific that JTV licensed three products. They wanted to implement three products, and all three were not implemented.

So I used the word "application bundle" just to make sure everyone was clear that everyone was looking to implement these three. I don't think that was Gary's term, "application bundle".

Q Fair point. Let me ask it a different way. You write: During my tenure at Yantra between '99 and '05, we had never deployed this specific "application bundle", but is it true that you heard Mr. Giannoni on several occasions --

A Represent we could.

- Q Thank you -- represent that Sterling could, in fact, implement all three of these products at JTV?
  - A Yes.
- Q And that he represented Sterling had in the past implemented all three products at JTV?
  - A Yes
- Q Could you please read into the record paragraph eight.

- or if he shared those concerns with me, even if I was no longer part of the delivery team, I thought it was my responsibility to share his concerns with the rest of the organization.
  - Q Is it fair to say that one reason you wrote this paragraph is because you, too, shared his frustration and disappointment in the talent of the services team?
  - A Yes. Not the talent of the services team, but how could we get so far behind. How could we get so far misaligned right after a sale. Because I understand what 2 million -- \$2 million meant to a client that's the best thing to us and then shortly after we signed a \$2 million contract all I'm hearing is there's escalations, there's fires, there's challenges, there's problems, there's resource turnover, and I felt like that would give a buyer remorse that quickly after signing off on a \$2 million agreement.
  - Q Mr. Lewis, I want to thank you for voluntarily coming forward today and giving testimony about the sales cycle. I want to thank you for coming forward and correcting any errors that may have happened in your previous testimony, and my last question for you today sir, is this: If you -- would

	Page 65		Page 67
1	you accept voluntarily a subpoena to appear at this	1	INDEX EXHIBIT
2	trial and give testimony before a jury?	2	EXHIBITS Page
3	A Absolutely.	3	C
4	Q Why are you willing to do that?	4	Exhibit 136
5	A The truth still has to be told whether	5	
6	it's in this setting, an exam, a deposition, but in	6	Exhibit 243
7	front of the jury. I think the jury ultimately has	7	
8	to make the decision.	8	Exhibit 345
9	Q And what is the truth about whether or	9	
10	not Sterling misrepresented its implementation	10	Exhibit 454
11	experiences to JTV during the sales cycle?	11	
12	A It had never been done before. So we	12	Exhibit 559
13	misrepresented our ability to do all three in eight	13	
14	months or any amount of timeline. We had never done	14	PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS
15	it before.	15	EXHIBITS Page
16	MR. SHAPIRO: Thank you very much,	16	
17	sir. I appreciate your time.	17	Previously Marked Exhibit 2525
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the video	18	
19	record at 4:10 p.m.	19	Previously Marked Exhibit 3132
20		20	
21	(Thereupon, the Statement Under Oath	21	
22	was concluded at approximately 4:10	22	
23	p.m.)	23	
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 66		Page 68
1		1	
2	STATE OF)	2	ERRATA
3	COUNTY OF)	3	
4	COUNTY OF)	4	
5		5 6	I wish to make the full arrive above as
6	LIENIGE PELTON 4 '	7	I wish to make the following changes,
7	I, LEWIS E. FELTON, the witness	8	for the following reasons:
8 9	herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this statement under	9	PAGE LINE
10	oath, do hereby certify it to be a true and	10	CHANGE:
11	correct transcript, subject to the	11	REASON:
12	corrections, if any, shown on the attached	12	CHANGE:
13	page.	13	REASON:
14	page.	14	CHANGE:
15		15	REASON:
16	LEWIS E. FELTON	16	CHANGE:
17	DE WIS E. LEDION	17	REASON:
18		18	CHANGE:
19		19	REASON:
20	Sworn and subscribed to before me,	20	CHANGE:
21	this day of, 2015.	21	REASON:
22	,	22	
23	Dro ff	23	0101/
24	Notary Public	24	WITNESS' SIGNATURE DATE
25		25	